

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/646,346 11/15/2000		Mario Pagliaro	PAGLIAR01	9119
1444	7590 01/15/2004	EXAMINER		
BROWDY	AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.	LEWIS, PATRICK T		
624 NINTH SUITE 300	STREET, NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	ON, DC 20001-5303	1623		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/646,346	3	PAGLIARO ET AL	··			
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Patrick T. L	1	1623				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status									
1)	X Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 October 2003</u> .								
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .								
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>3-7,9-11,14-17,19 and 21-25</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)[🖂	⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-17 and 21</u> is/are allowed.								
6)⊠									
7) 🖾	Claim(s) 9,14 and 23 is/are objected to.								
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	r election re	quirement.					
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	g the correcti	ion is require	d if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>									
Attachmen				. 1572					
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) I		·	4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/646,346

Art Unit: 1623

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Applicant's Response dated October 8, 2003

1. In the Response filed October 8, 2003, claim 21 was amended; claims 1-2, 8, 12-13, 18, and 20 were canceled; and claim 25 was added. Applicant presented arguments directed to the rejection of claim 18 (rewritten as new claim 25) under 35 U.S.C. 102(b). Claims 3-7, 9-11, 14-17, 19, and 21-25 are pending. An action on the merits of claims 3-7, 9-11, 14-17, 19, and 21-25 is contained herein below.

2. The rejection of claim 18 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) has been rendered moot in view of applicant's amendment dated October 8, 2003.

### Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. Claims 14 and 23 are objected to because of the following informalities: In step b) of claim 23 the phrase "or a precursor thereof of formula," does not clearly convey what "formula" applicant is referencing. The examiner suggests identifying the formula by name, number, or location in the claim. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/646,346

Art Unit: 1623

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 3-7, 10-11, 19, 22, and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "precursor" as set forth in independent claims 22 and 25 render said claims and subsequent dependent claims indefinite. In the absence of distinct modifications to the chemical core claimed or distinct language to describe the structural modifications or the chemical names of precursors of this invention, the identity of said precursors would be difficult to describe and the metes and bounds of said precursors applicant regards as the invention cannot be sufficiently determined because they have not been particularly pointed out or distinctly articulated in the claims. The term "precursor" is indefinite in all occurrences wherein the identity of said "precursor" has not been distinctly set forth. The examiner suggests amending to claim to recite "a compound that forms TEMPO under the under the instant reaction conditions" or the like in place of the term "precursor".

- 7. Claim 3 recites the limitation "said non-hydrolyzable substituent is H, an alkyl, aryl or fluoroalkyl group or an aminoalkyl group" in lines 2-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 8. Claims 4 and 5 recite the limitation "said step c)" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 9. Claim 19 recites the limitation "wherein P is a non-hydrolyzable substituent" in lines 2-3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/646,346 Page 4

Art Unit: 1623

## Conclusion

10. Claims 3-7, 9-11, 14-17, 19, and 21-25 are pending. Claims 3-7, 10-11, 19, 22, and 24-25 are rejected. Claims 9, 14, and 23 are objected to. Claims 15-17 and 21 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/646,346

Art Unit: 1623

#### Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick T. Lewis whose telephone number is 703-305-4043. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 703-308-4624. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

Patrick T. Lewis, PhD Examiner

Art Unit 1623

0196.

ptl January 12, 2004 James O. Wilson

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1600